

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/514,412	06/29/2005	Joerg Roth	. DASI3001/FJD	4371	
23364 7590 10/26/2007 BACON & THOMAS, PLLC 625 SLATERS LANE FOURTH FLOOR ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314			EXAMINER		
			SINGH, HIRDEPAL		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
ALEXANDIG	A, VA 22314		2611		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			10/26/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

/.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	_
10/514,412	ROTH ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Hirdepal Singh	2611	

	Hirdepal Singh	2611	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED <u>17 October 2007</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS A	APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR	R ALLOWANCE.	
1.  The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in complifollowing time periods:	ving replies: (1) an amendment, aff tice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in	idavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	nce, which CFR 41.31; or
a) The period for reply expires months from the mailing date of	of the final rejection.		
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire late Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(	r than SIX MONTHS from the mailing d (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THI f).	ate of the final rejection. E FIRST REPLY WAS F	ILED WITHIN TW
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three most earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  NOTICE OF APPEAL	and the corresponding amount of the fe statutory period for reply originally set	e. The appropriate externing the final Office action	ension fee under 3 ; or (2): <b>asris</b> ein (b)
<ol> <li>The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in composition of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any explore a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be</li> </ol>	tension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e))	, to avoid di smissal (	of the appeal.
AMENDMENTS  3. ☑ The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, (a)☑ They raise new issues that would require further co	nsideration and/or search (see NO		because
(b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo (c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in bel appeal; and/or		ducing or simplifying	the issues for
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a		ect ed claims.	
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.1	, ,,		
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1		ompliant Amendment	(PTOL -324).
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s		A: ( £:)	
<ol> <li>Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a the non-allowable claim(s).</li> </ol>	illowable if submitted in a separate	, timely filed amendm	ent canceling
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to:		rill be entered and an	explanation of
Claim(s) rejected:			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:  AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, b because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>			
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar</li> </ol>	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe y and was not earlier presented. S	al and/or appellant fa See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(	ills to provide a 1).
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER</li> </ol>	on of the status of the claims after	entry is below or attac	ched.
11.   The request for reconsideration has been considered by	ut does NOT place the application i	n condition for allowa	nce because:
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).	(PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s).		_
13.  Other:		lu cay	Z.
	· .	SHUWANG LIU	
	O I IDEEM M	000V DATELIT EV	A & 415 1FF

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

Continuation Sheet (PTOL-303)

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The new requirements in the Independent claim(s) were never before present and would require further consideration and/or search.